4. The Independents
Most Canadian artists during the thirties concentrated in the large urban centres - like Toronto, Montreal, and Vancouver - where they could associate with other artists, see exhibitions, and participate in current events. However, there were some, such as LeMoine Fitzgerald and David Milne, who consciously maintained or sought out isolation from the mainstream to allow themselves to develop along more integrally personal lines. After leaving for the United States, Lawren Harris's painting also evolved in directions quite distinct from anything in Canada.

A fter five months at the Art Students League in New York under Boardman Robinson and Kenneth Hayes Miller, LeMoine Fitzgerald returned to Winnipeg and in the fall of 1924 joined the staff of the Winnipeg School of Art, becoming its principal five years later. 1 Sometimes during the late twenties Fitzgerald came into contact with the Group of Seven, 2 a relationship strengthened by Bertram Brooker, a native Winnipegger and close friend of the Group. An exhibition of Fitzgerald's drawings at Dent's Publishing House in Toronto was greatly admired by Lawren Harris, who purchased one of the drawings 3 - a purchase that resulted in an invitation to exhibit in the Group show in 1930. That same year Fitzgerald went east and for the first time met several of the Toronto artists. 4 In March 1932 Arthur Lismer visited Fitzgerald in Winnipeg during his cross-country lecture tour, and Lismer was made aware of the provincialism of the Group's concept of a Canadian identity. 5 The quality and direction of Fitzgerald's work, as well as the need to broaden the geographic base of the Group, were the deciding factors in inviting Fitzgerald to become a member of the Group of Seven. 6 W hile here, he participated with them only in one private show, 7 he was 'pleased to feel a definite sense of reality' 8 he found in Seurat's A Sunday Afternoon on the Island of La Grande Jatte.

Fitzgerald's approach to his art demanded 'a greater emphasis on study than on picture-making alone. 9 In countless studies in pencil and oil he would work out each detail of the picture, building up the form and studying the relation of the elements to each other before uniting them in the finished work.

In Broken Tree in Landscape (1931, cat. no. 44), the rich greens and blues of the shadows seem to vibrate in intensity - in contrast to his usual delicate colouring, seen in the clearing and trees. While Fitzgerald still creates a complex spatial arrangement of line and form, the shapes are softer, the stylized foliage almost like air-filled cotton, and the splintered tree smoothly honed.

Fitzgerald's concern for qualities of light, colour, and texture, seen in his Impressionist canvases of the early twenties, continues in his later work. He slowly breaks away from atmospheric rendering, and the light, instead of blending the compositional elements, isolates them, stressing their formal relationships. In Doc Snider's House the trees become plastic units revolving in an elliptical motion within the blue-white space of the yard. The contrasting straight and curved lines and closed and open spaces are unified by the muted colouring, limited to browns and blues - with a touch of pale green in the house at the right.

While the texture of the snow in Doc Snider's House still owes something to Lawren Harris, the careful brushwork of Farm Yard (1931; cat. no. 43) is very much Fitzgerald's own. A applied thinly, layer over layer, the dry paint has almost the quality of a bas relief, intensifying the internal structure of each of the forms. The compositional elements interrelate in a complex schema of vertical, diagonal, and horizontal lines. While most of the canvas is painted in bleached tones of similar values, a subtle richness is added by the green on the boards at the left and faint purples in the shadows on the barn.

In Broken Tree in Landscape (1931, cat. no. 44), the rich greens and blues of the shadows seem to vibrate in intensity - in contrast to his usual delicate colouring, seen in the clearing and trees. While Fitzgerald still creates a complex spatial arrangement of line and form, the shapes are softer, the stylized foliage almost like air-filled cotton, and the splintered tree smoothly honed.

Fitzgerald's approach to his art demanded 'a greater emphasis on study than on picture-making alone. 9 In countless studies in pencil and oil he would work out each detail of the picture, building up the form and studying the relation of the elements to each other before uniting them in the finished work.

These formal concerns owe a great deal to his interest in the work of the Pointillist Seurat. Visiting the Chicago Art Institute in 1930, Fitzgerald commented on the 'great feeling of reality' he found in Seurat's A Sunday Afternoon on the Island of La Grande Jatte and the beauty and 'alive' quality of his drawings. 10 A nature artist, who interested Fitzgerald was the American Precisionist Charles Sheeler. 11 Sheeler's tonal colouring and careful rendering of simpli-
fied form studied under strong sunlight find their parallel in FitzGerald's work. However, whereas Sheeler's themes are mechanical and functional objects, FitzGerald confines himself to more natural surroundings. Less concerned with the final result than with the study itself, FitzGerald produced few completed paintings—thereby occasioning plaintive letters from the East requesting works for exhibition. He replied, 'I find it very difficult to keep up with the shows. I seem to require so much time to do even a small drawing that I only get through a very few things during the year even though I am working all the spare time I have.' To an expressed preference for oils over drawings, he answered, 'For the time being the drawings seem to satisfy my desire to create and I am egotist enough to think that some of them are darn fine things with just as much in them as any painting I have done.'

Concentrating his studies resulted in periods when he preferred to work in only one medium, almost to the exclusion of any other. The oils of the early thirties were replaced by drawings as he became more and more interested in drawing in increasingly subtle relationships. Oil became almost too rich a medium for him: 'Recently I have had a peculiar feeling . . . . It has almost amounted to a physical nausea at the thought of looking at paintings by the wholesale.'

In The Pool (1934, cat. no. 45), one of the few oils of the mid-thirties, the linear interaction of verticals, parabolas, and ellipses reflect his predominant interest in drawing. The paint is applied in thin, short strokes and flecked at the edges—creating a series of concentric lines echoing the curves of the reeds. The linear quality of the paint is so strong the reeds appear almost as if incised. At the same time, the texture, gently curving horizontals, and subtle colouring of the trees and clouds reflected in the water give the work a richness and complexity beyond two-dimensional pattern.

FitzGerald's increasing interest in geometric relationships is seen also in Jar (1938, cat. no. 46). As usual in his still-lifes, he confines himself to one or two objects chosen for their elementary contours and formally juxtaposed with each other and within the whole environment. The abrupt perspective flattens the jar, accentuating the smooth curves to the mouth, contrasting with the enclosing diagonals. The intersecting lines in the upper left are almost Constructivist in the subtlety of their minimal forms. The flecked stroke gives a solidity to the individual elements and an overall surface unity.

It is evident that these formal studies would eventually lead to pure abstraction. However, several years earlier FitzGerald had discarded that possibility. After talking with a friend, FitzGerald wrote in his journal, ‘[W]e agreed
on the feeling that [the] purely abstract has a tendency to lose contact with the living thing ... and that the move today is rather a swing towards ... an eternal contact with humanity and nature and a greater sense of unity. T he Realism of Courbet held more attraction for him at this time, and it was only much later, in the early fifties, that he would make the break.

FitzGerald's art is a steady progress in the study of formal relationships. W hile choosing places and objects familiar to him, he was, unlike the rest of the Group of Seven, convinced that subject matter was essentially unimportant. For him it was more important to make 'the picture a living thing, one great thought made up of many details but all subordinated to the whole."

FitzGerald's interests find their parallel in an artist quite similar yet at the same time quite different in expression, David M ilne. A fter having lived in New York State for twenty-five years, M ilne returned to Canada in the spring of 1929, first settling at Temagami and in the fall moved to W eston, just outside Toronto. T he following spring he moved to Palgrave, O ntario, where he was to remain for three years.

D uring his first two years in Canada, M ilne re-established contact with the National GALLERY, sized up the Canadian art scene, and sought exhibition outlets. H e only made his first sale in Canada in 1931 when V incent M assey purchased his painting, W indow. "

A s it was for FitzGerald, 'for David M ilne the process of art, not the content of it, was paramount. M ilne wrote, 'Do you like flowers? So do I, but I never paint them. I didn't even see the hepaticas. I saw, instead, an arrangement of the lines, spaces, hues, values and relations that I habitually use. T hat is, I saw one of my own pictures, a little different from ones done before, changed slightly, very slightly, by what I saw before me."

M ilne used words as a part of the process to concentrate his vision. F irst there were notes on the pictures as they were painted, 'a half-way technical analysis of each sketch, with some extensions into the theory of painting in general, and occasional notings of weather, incidents and thoughts outside the strictly painting thing. - points of reference for future work. T he other method M ilne called 'the inventory method,' a verbal inventory of his immediate environment: 'T he point of it is in the everything. Not merely things you would usually see but things so simple that you wouldn't ordinarily think of them."

H is actual painting method is admirably described in his letter to his friend James Clarke in New York: the choice of elements, the arrangement, at first 'purely observation and reason,' the first drawing with his brush, something catches, his interest heightens, then the brushing in of colour and values, the adding and subtracting, all at a feverish pitch.

D avid M ilne's Palgrave landscapes are studies in line and separation of value and hue. 'A line is put in detail first - though the rest is planned at the same time - then is made readable, simplified by emphasis and reduction with values and hues or by simplifying the arrangement of the line itself. In Blind Road (1930, cat. no. 47) the lines create a complex of open and shut spaces relieved by the blank space above. In Splendour Touches Hiram's Farm (1932, cat. no. 48) the hues are higher in intensity, there are fewer open spaces, demanding a larger rest area above. T he contrast of values, with a limited number of hues, gives the 'kick.'

I n Palgrave (I) (1931, cat no. 49) he reverses the motifs, from a serene, empty sky and detailed landscape to a concentration on the upper part of the picture, with the earth becoming a 'foil for the sky.' H owever, unlike the other Palgrave pictures, it is the contrast of hues, and not blank spaces, that plays against the sky. 'T he thing that "makes" a picture,' he remarked, "is the thing that "makes" dynamite - compression. It isn't a fire in the grass, it is an explosion."

F rom a painting point-of-view, David M ilne's time at Palgrave was extremely productive; however, economically it was difficult. T ensions at home, aggravated by financial constraints, resulted in his separating from his wife and moving to Six M ile Lake near Severn Falls, O ntario, in M ay 1933.

H aving no contacts with other Canadian artists at this time, D avid M ilne was unable to exhibit with the C anadian G roup of P ainters, the main outlet for 'modern' work in oil. H ampered by lack of funds, he could not risk shipping paintings to exhibitions where they might be rejected by juries. W ithout exhibitions, there were no sales. H e began to consider the possibility of selling all the pictures he had ever painted - ' $5,000 for a lifetime's work' - and finally approached the V incent M asseys, the only Canadian collectors he knew. T he arrangement as finally agreed upon was the purchase by the M asseys of all his work painted in the last five years, with a few earlier works he had brought to Canada with him. T he M asseys also arranged for an exhibition of M ilne's work at M ellors GALLERIES in T oronto, the first one to be followed by annual exhibitions during the next three years. T hrough the M asseys and the M ellors exhibitions M ilne soon came in contact with several people who were to be extremely important in his life.

M ilne decided to live in the country, isolated from other artists, partly for economic reasons but, more importantly, to allow himself the time to concentrate on the thing that
interested him most, his painting. Like FitzGerald, Milne's art depended on the formulation and solution of certain formal, artistic problems and the consistent development and concentration of his inner self. For this, time and space were needed, not social contacts or change of scene. However, the few personal connections he did have, and especially his correspondence with these people, were important to him. They allowed him to share his observations about Canadian art and theorize about art with persons on an equal level in a creative dialogue. Over the next few years his correspondence with his American associate, James Clarke, diminished as he developed new contacts and friends in Canada.

Donald Buchanan first saw Milne's work at the Masseys' house in Port Hope and in October 1934 visited Milne at Six Mile Lake. A articulate about art and aware of contemporary developments seen through the writings of the aestheticians Clive Bell and Roger Fry, Buchanan struck up a close friendship with David Milne, as witnessed by their correspondence over the next few years. Donald Buchanan wrote the introduction to the catalogue of the first Melliors exhibition and several excellent articles which at last brought Milne to public attention.

Douglas Duncan and Alan Jarvis first saw Milne's work at Melliors Galleries in 1934, and the next summer visited him at Six Mile Lake. Douglas Duncan was completely captivated by both Milne and his work, and over the years did more than any one else to establish his reputation. At first, he arranged private sales of Milne's works, and after that an exhibition of his drypoints, as these were not covered by the contract with Melliors Galleries. The arrangement between Melliors Galleries and David Milne had originally been made by Mrs M assey for the sale of works from the 1934 purchase, with any profit going to buy works by contemporary Canadian artists. The contract was renewed after the Masseys left for England, with Milne sending more recent works to be included in the exhibitions. However, when he finally asked for an accounting of the costs and profits he found that he had lost money on the arrangement. He then left Melliors and joined the Picture Loan Society.

Through Douglas Duncan, David Milne met some of the younger Toronto artists, including Will O'Givie and Carl Schaefer. He also met Alan Plaunt, a collector of contemporary Canadian art and one of the persons instrumental in the creation of the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation. Douglas also interested J.S. Mclean, President of Canada Packers and a noted collector, in Milne's work and resultant sales finally guaranteed Milne some economic security.

When Milne first moved to Six Mile Lake in 1933 there was a period of adaptation from the 'open skies of Palgrave to the closed in material of the bush.' New material stimulated new methods. Instead of concentrating on line, value, and hue, he would now use a colour where before he would use a value. He would work up a painting in his shack rather than completing it at one sitting at the site. He no longer painted several versions of one motif, but sought out a variety of subjects around his camp. In Young Poppers Among Driftwood (1937, cat. no. 50) he still uses the blank space as a resting area to contrast with the cluttered space below. However, the brushwork is freer and broader, building up the forms from the inside in an almost painterly manner. The few hues are all of a similar intensity.

The still-lifes of the mid-thirties, starting with Raspberry Jam (1936, cat. no. 51) were, as Milne called them, studies in progression, across the canvas in a measured beat, in this case from black to light blue by way of red, grey, and green. A similar progression is seen in Red Nasturtiums (1937, cat. no. 52), from areas of brilliant colour to black-white areas, with black unifying the whole. He still confines himself to a few hues at maximum intensity, swiftly laid on against the white paper, which is itself incorporated as a hue.

Milne started painting in watercolour again during the summer of 1937, attracted by the decisiveness and immediacy of the medium and he confined himself almost totally to watercolour for the next few years. In 1939 he moved from Six Mile Lake to Toronto and then to Uxbridge. As usual, a change in place resulted in a change in colour, form, and theme in his work of the forties.

Lawren Harris arrived in Hanover, New Hampshire, in November 1934, originally intending to stay only a few months; however, the sympathetic atmosphere of Dartmouth College, the White Mountains nearby, and the accessibility of New York City convinced him to remain. Freed from a difficult personal situation in Toronto, newly married, and in a congenial environment, he began to paint again.

Drawings made on sketching trips to the White Mountains were reworked in his studio through successive stages of abstraction and then transferred to canvas. In studies of wood-grain patterns, rock formations, and mountain landscapes, he sought a form of abstract expression derived from natural elements.

Both Riven Earth I (c. 1936, cat. no. 53) and Resolution (c. 1937, cat. no. 54) retain definite landscape references. Riven Earth I relates compositionally to certain Lake Superior canvases as well as to a symbolic, though representational, landscape of 1935 entitled Winter Comes from the Arctic to the Temperate Zone. Resolution's vertical format...
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and conical peaks refer to the later Rocky Mountain paintings. However, Lawren Harris was not merely attempting to create geometricized landscapes.

In his 1948 statement on abstraction he defined two categories: 'One kind is derived from the accumulated experience of nature over many years. In these the endeavor is to embody and concentrate this accumulated experience in organization of line, mass and colour in such a way that they express the motivating spirit in nature. . . . . The second kind of abstractions aim at statements of ideas and intimations of a philosophic kind in plastic, aesthetic and emotive terms.'

Both Riven Earth I and Resolution fall somewhere between the two categories. They express not only the experience of nature, be it open arctic spaces or soaring mountains heights, but Lawren Harris's theosophic interpretation of these places and experiences.

The similarities between Riven Earth I and Winter Comes from the Arctic to the Temperate Zone naturally suggest his belief in the 'spiritual flow' from the North that will ever shed clarity into the growing race of America. The mountains were also seen as spiritual centres in theosophic teachings; and the waves emanating from the base of the 'peak' possibly refer to these energies, in a depiction curiously similar to Bertram Brooker's early abstractions. However, the inscription on the back of the stretcher implies a more complex symbolism: RESOLUTION / INTERLOCKING FORMS - SYMBOL OF STEADFASTNESS, COURAGE.

Without further research, it is difficult to determine to what extent Lawren Harris had formulated a system of symbolic form and colour. He was familiar with the work of Wassily Kandinsky and Piet Mondrian, both of whom had developed their abstractions with the aid of theosophy. One of the sources of Kandinsky's analysis of form and colour, he taught forms by Annie Besant and C.W. Leadbeater, had been reviewed in The Canadian Theosophist at the time of the book's second printing. The anonymous critic wrote, 'It is difficult to suppose that the shapes and images of either psychic or artistic imagination shall always be standard conceptions by which we might recognize these aspects of the karmic nature which they are intended to represent. . . . . though the colours may more nearly represent the reality.'

It is possible that Lawren Harris had already in his arctic landscapes adopted certain aspects of the theosophic colour symbolism as interpreted by Kandinsky: blue expressing the desire for purity and transcendence, the call to the infinite; yellow, a more typically earthly colour; and white, purity and stillness pregnant with potentialities. In Riven Earth I the spiritual blues and whites rise above the browns and yellows of the foreground elements. In Resolution the green-grey in the background and black of the 'shadow' crowning the triangular 'peak' are both colours of rest, immobility, and death - silence with no potentialities.

Lawren Harris first exhibited his abstracts in the Canadian Group of Painters exhibition in 1937 and again in 1939. The general reaction had been anticipated in an article by Arthur Lismer: 'The artist turns from the world of other men's making and goes to the vast unexplored world of abstract thought, psychological and metaphysical, where the emotional and scientific union of intellect and speculation releases the spirit into lands less forlorn, into a world of order and mathematical divination but which takes from him all contact with his fellow men into a stratosphere of rarified purity of design and colour.' Other reviewers echoed this criticism of Harris's apparent rejection of humanity, to which Harris replied, 'many folks have the idea that so-called abstract art is not in terms of humanity. This, because most folks don't know its language and therefore mistake their limitations for infallible criteria.' Not surprisingly his abstractions were not included in any of the Canadian representations in international art exhibitions, not even in the Canadian art section of the San Francisco Golden Gate International Exposition (1939) which Harris himself organized.

During the spring and summer of 1938, Lawren and Bess Harris made a motor trip to New Mexico to explore the possibilities of settling there, returning to Maine in July. While in Santa Fe, they met Raymond Jonson who was in the process of organizing a group of abstract artists and Lawren Harris was invited to join them. HARRIS moved to New Mexico in September.

The Transcendental Painting Group was organized during the summer of 1938 while Lawren Harris was still in Maine. Recognizing its roots in the art of Kandinsky, the new group sought to promote an art that expressed 'the immaterial by means of material substances' and 'to carry painting beyond the appearance of the physical world . . . to imaginative realms that are idealistic and spiritual.' The seal of the group was a stylized butterfly symbolizing metamorphosis, 'the constant renewal of forms toward a higher, freer, always transcendent life.' The painter's group, primarily an exhibiting organization, had an educational adjunct in the American Foundation for Transcendental Painting. With Lawren Harris as the first president, its aims were to protect, preserve, and promote transcendental painting.

Exhibitions were organized in Santa Fe and Albuquerque and paintings by members were included in the state representations at the New York World's Fair and the San Francisco Golden Gate International Exposi-
tion. Works sent to the Guggenheim Museum in New York were included in two exhibitions of 'Non-Objective Painters.'

Lawren Harris's Santa Fe works show an increasing maturity and familiarity with 'non-representational' painting. In White Triangle (c. 1939, cat. no. 55) he avoids all representational references. The complex interaction of directional lines and overlapping forms gives the central motif a solid feeling of three-dimensionality and weight floating in a glowing atmosphere of white and blue. The theosophic triangle, painted in white, the colour of joy, purity, and silence, symbolizes the three principles of spirit, force, and matter.

It is probable that Lawren Harris was experimenting with dynamic symmetry at this time. Dynamic symmetry, ‘a mathematical system of composition . . . based on the relationship of the diagonal to the sides of a rectangle’ was derived by its author, Jay Hambidge, from a study of ancient Egyptian painting and Greek vases. This system purported to be a compositional aid in the solution of space problems and was popular in the United States during the twenties, being used by such artists as George Bellows, Robert Henri, and Leon Kroll. Franz Johnston, one of the original Group of Seven, used it from the mid-twenties and it was taught at the Roerich art school in New York. Emil Bisttram, one of the members of the Transcendental Painting Group, was an authority on dynamic symmetry, and Bess Harris studied it with Bisttram while in New Mexico. Certain aspects of the system, such as the concept of a mathematical ‘law’ derived from ancient sources, would appeal to Lawren Harris’s theosophic interests.

Lawren Harris enjoyed New Mexico, the purity of its air, the altitude, and the convivial surroundings, as well as his involvement with the Transcendental painters. He had no plans to return to Canada. However, with the outbreak of the Second World War, the Canadian government blocked the export of funds from Canada, and he had to leave. He visited Vancouver in October 1940 and decided to stay the winter. He was to remain there for the next thirty years.
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